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## Introduction

- What is multiple level programming?
- Decentralized planning in organizations
- Where are its applications?
- Many areas with conflict resolution
- What's techniques deal with the problems?
- Traditional and non-traditional techniques
- Future Research


## Definition

## Multiple Level Programming (MLP)

- To solve decentralized planning problems with multiple executors in a hierarchical organization
- Explicitly assigns each agent a unique objective and set of decision variables as well as a set of common constraints that affects all agents


## Hierarchical Structure



## MLP Formulation

Multi-level ( $k$ levels decentralized) mathematical programming :

where $j=1,2, \ldots, n$ represents the $j$ th decision variable, and $k=1,2, \ldots$, $K$ represents the $k$ th level, respectively. In addition, the decision variable set $\cup_{k, i}\left\{x_{k d} \mid \forall i\right.$ and $\left.k\right\}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}=\{x\}$.

## Characteristics (I)

## Common Characteristics of MLP

1) Interactive decision-making units exit within a predominantly hierarchical structure
2) Execution of decisions is sequential, from top level to bottom level
3) Each unit independently maximizes its own net benefits, but is affected by actions of other units through externalities
4) The external effect on a decision-maker's problem can be reflected in both his objective function and his set of feasible decision space

## Characteristics (II)

## Consider a constrain region of the bi-level programming problem

- Follower's rational reaction set
- Inducible region - non-convexity
$F(x, y)=x-4 y$
$f(y)=y$
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## Bi-level Programming- a simple case

Problem formulation
Max $f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=c_{11}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} x_{1}+c_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} x_{2} \quad$ (upper level)
$x 1$
where $x_{2}$ solves,

$$
\text { Max } f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=c_{21}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} x_{1}+c_{22}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} x_{2} \quad \text { (lower level) }
$$

$x 2$
s.t.

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in X=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mid A_{1} x_{1}+A_{2} x_{2} \leq b, \text { and } x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0\right\}
$$

where $c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{21}, c_{22}$, and $b$ are vectors, $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are matrices, and $X$ represents the constraint region.

## Bi-level Programming

- A Special Case of Two-person, Non-zero Sum Non-cooperative Game
- A general Stackelberg's (leader-follower) duopoly model Nested Optimization Problem
- NP-hard complexity


## Applications (I)

## Agricultural model

- Agricultural policy- Nile Valley case (Parraga, 1981)
- Milk industry (Candler and Norton, 1977)
- Mexican agriculture model (Candler and Norton, 1977)
- Water supply model (Candler et al., 1981)


## Government policy

- Distribution of government resources (Kyland, 1975)
- Environmental regulation (Kolstad, 1982)


## Finance model

- Bank asset portfolio (Parraga, 1981)
- Commission rate setting (Wen and Jiang, 1988)


## Applications (II)

- Economic systems
- Distribution center problem (Fortuny and McCarl, 1981)
- Principle-agent model (Arrow, 1986)
- Price ceilings in the oil industry (DeSilva, 1978)


## Welfare

- Allocation model of strategic weapons (Bracken et al., 1977)


## Transportation

Highway network system (LeBlance and Boyce, 1986)
Others

- Network flows (Shih and Lee, 1999; Shih, 2005)
- Supply chain (Viswanarthan et al., 2001)


## Techniques (I)

## Extreme-point Search

- Kth-best algorithm
- Grid-search algorithm
- Fuzzy approach (Shih 1995, 2002; Shih et al., 1996)
- Interactive approach (Shih, 2002)


## Transformation Approach

- Complement pivot
- Branch-and-bound
- Penalty function

Interior Point

- Primal-dual algorithm


## Techniques (II)

- Decent and Heuristics
- Descent method
- Branch-and-bound
- Cutting plane
- Dynamic programming (Shih and Lee, 2001; Shih, 2005)

Intelligent Computation

- Tabu search
- Simulated annealing
- Genetic algorithm
- Artificial neural network (Shih et al., 2004)


## Categories of Techniques
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## Example 1. A Trade-off Problem between Exports and Imports

```
Problem formulation
    Max \(f_{1}=2 x_{1}-x_{2}\) (effect on the export trade -1 st objective)
        \(x_{1}\)
    where \(x_{2}\) solves,
    Max \(f_{2}=x_{1}+2 x_{2}\) (profits on the product - 2 nd objective)
    s.t.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
3 x_{1}-5 x_{2} \leq 15 & \text { ( capacity ) } \\
3 x_{1}-x_{2} \leq 21 & \text { ( management ) } \\
3 x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 27 & \text { (space ) } \\
3 x_{1}+4 x_{2} \leq 45 & \text { ( material ) } \\
x_{1}+3 x_{2} \leq 30 & \text { ( labor hours ) } \\
x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0 & \text { ( non-negative ) }
\end{array}
\]
```


## Kth-best Algorithm- Extreme-point

## Solving procedure

- Step 1. Solve the upper-level problem $i=1, x_{[1]}^{*}=(7.5,1.5)$ at vertex B
$-\quad$ Step 2. Solve the lower-level problem with $x_{1}=7.5$
Solution $x^{+}=(7.5,4.5)$ between vertex $D$ and vertex $C$ $x+\neq x_{[1]}^{*}$, go to Step 3.
- Step 3. Consider the neighboring set of $x_{[1]}^{*}$ (vertex $A$ and vertex C)
- Step 4. Update label $i=i+1=2$, and choose $x_{[2]}^{*}=(8,3)$ (vertex C). Go to Step 2.
- Step 2. Let $x_{1}=8$ to the lower level problem Solution $x^{+}=(8,3)$. Since $x^{+}=x_{[2]}^{*}$, the procedure is terminated. $x_{[2]}^{*}$ is the optimum


## Decision (Variable) Space
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## Objective (Function) Space
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## Karush-Kuhn-Tucker Conditions

Four sets of conditions

- Stationarity
- Complete slackness
- Primal feasibility
- Dual feasibility


## Karush-Kuhn-Tucker ConditionsTransformation approach

Problem formulation
Max $f_{1}=2 x_{1}-x_{2}$
$x_{1}, x_{2}$
s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in X \\
& w_{1}\left(-3 x_{1}+5 x_{2}+15\right)=0 \\
& w_{2}\left(-3 x_{1}+x_{2}+21\right)=0 \\
& w_{3}\left(-3 x_{1}-x_{2}+27\right)=0 \\
& w_{4}\left(-3 x_{1}-4 x_{2}+45\right)=0 \\
& w_{5}\left(-x_{1}-3 x_{2}+30\right)=0 \\
& -5 w_{1}-w_{2}+w_{3}+4 w_{4}+3 w_{5}=2 \\
& w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}, w_{5}, x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Separation Procedure

Problem formulation
The constraint set
$w^{\mathrm{T}}\left(A_{1} x_{1}+A_{2} x_{2}-b\right)=0$, where $w$ is a dual vector.

The transformed two terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w \leq(1-\eta) M, \text { and } \\
& A_{1} x_{1}+A_{2} x_{2}-b \leq M \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta \in\{0,1\}$ and $M$ is a large positive constant

## Concept of Fuzzy Approach

- Fuzzy Membership Functions (Zadeh, 1965)
- Tolerance of decisions
- Achievement of goal

Fuzzy Multi-objective Decision Making (Zimmermann, 1985)

- Information aggregation

Possibility theory (Zadeh, 1978)

- Imprecise range
$\rightarrow$ Supervised search procedure


## Fuzzy Approach

## Problem formulation

$$
\operatorname{Max} f_{2}=2 x_{1}-x_{2}
$$

s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in X \\
& \mu_{\mathrm{f} 1}\left(f_{1}(x)\right) \geq \alpha \\
& \mu_{\mathrm{x} 1}\left(x_{1}\right) \geq \beta \\
& \alpha \in[0,1] \text { and } \beta \in[0,1] \\
& x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fuzzy Decision

## Problem formulation

Max $\{\alpha, \beta, \delta\}$
s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in X \\
& \mu_{\mathrm{f} 1}\left(f_{1}(x)\right)=\left(f_{1}-0\right) /(13.5-0) \geq \alpha \\
& \mu_{\mathrm{x} 1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{1}-4.5\right) /(7.5-4.5) \geq \beta \\
& \mu_{\mathrm{x} 1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\left(8-x_{1}\right) /(8-7.5) \geq \beta \\
& \mu_{\mathrm{f} 2}\left(f_{2}(x)\right)=\left(f_{2}-10.5\right) /(21-10.5) \geq \delta \\
& x_{1}, x_{2} \geq 0 \\
& \alpha, \beta, \delta \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Interactive Approach
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## Advantages of Fuzzy Approach

- Advantages
- Approximation of the natural of Large MLPPs
- Not increase the computational complexity
- Ease to extend to multiple levels
- DMs involve the process
- Efficient (Pareto) solution

Nested Optimization $\Rightarrow$ Sequential Optimization

## Extension to Vague Information


(a) Exceedance possibility, Pos $\left[\bar{b}_{i} \geq \underline{R}_{i}\right]>0$.

(b) Strict exceedance possibility, Pos $\left[\bar{b}_{i}>\bar{R}_{i}\right]>0$.

Vague/Imprecise data $\Rightarrow$ Possibilistic Distribution

## Dynamic Aspect of MLP (I)



Dynamic environment $\Rightarrow$ Multi-stage MLP
(discrete space)

## Dynamic Aspect of MLP (II)

- Applications:
- Shortest path problems
- Knapsack problems
- Other networks


## Neural Network Approach

- Use of dynamic behavior of artificial neural networks with parallel processing
- Based on Hopfield and Tank (1985)- recurrent network
Transforming to the energy function without constraints
- Optimum solution with a steady state


## Neural Network Approach
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## Future Research

- Conditions of existing Pareto-optimal
- Use of hybrid algorithms for uncertainty
- Solutions of multi-subunits
- Extension to n-level problems
- Applications of real-world problems (nonlinear or stochastic coefficients, chance constraints, multi-level multi-objectives)
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# Questions \& Comments 

Thank you!
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